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ABSTRACT
Current software product line engineering tools mainly focus on variability in the problem space, and create product families by linking variability models to artefacts in the solution space. In this paper, we present a tool that can be used to define software architectures with explicit variation points, and hence product families, directly in the solution space.

Categories and Subject Descriptors
D.2.2 [Software Engineering]: Design Tools and Techniques; D.2.11 [Software Engineering]: Software Architectures
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1. INTRODUCTION
Product line engineering tools, e.g. pure::variants, Gears, and COVAMOF, mainly focus on modelling variability in the problem space, and create product families by linking variability models to artefacts such as a code base in the solution space.

By contrast, software architecture based approaches create products directly in the solution space. However most of these approaches do not define variability explicitly, making it difficult to relate solutions to the problem space. In this paper we present a tool that can be used to define software architectures with explicit variation points, and hence product families. We briefly explain our approach and demonstrate the tool on an example.

2. TOOL OVERVIEW
Our tool supports the construction of a product family using a feature-oriented approach, as illustrated by Figure 1.

Our approach consists of three main stages: (i) build components (atomic or composite) to model the leaf features in the feature model, and compose them to model parent features wherever appropriate; (ii) apply variation operators to the set of components built in (i), according to the variation points in the feature model; (iii) apply family connectors to component sets from (i) and (ii) to produce one family.

For each stage, the tool provides a canvas as a design space, as well as a palette of pre-defined design blocks. The tool also performs continuous validation. Errors appear as cross markers attached to erroneous entities and detailed in the Problems view. Figures 2, 3 and 5 illustrate our workbench for the three stages.

3. TOOL IMPLEMENTATION
Our tool is implemented using a robust stack of model-driven technologies like Eclipse Modelling Framework, Graphiti, and CDO.

Our approach is based on a component model called FX-MAN, which is in turn based on the X-MAN component model and tool. X-MAN architectures have no variability, so in FX-MAN we added: (i) variation operators that generate variants of a set of X-MAN architectures, which we call an X-MAN set; and (ii) family connectors that compose multiple X-MAN sets into a product family.
3.1 Component Development

X-MAN components can be atomic and composite. An atomic component is a unit of computation. Its computation unit (CU) contains the implementation of the component. An atomic component has a number of provided services implemented by the CU. Figure 2 depicts the design of an atomic component. To define a service we drag onto the canvas a service from the palette and then add inputs and outputs; then we can generate an implementation template for the CU and implement the specified service. Continuous validation will ensure that the final component is well-formed.

Components are deposited in a standalone or collaborative repository. In our tool, a repository is displayed in the Repository Explorer view, at the bottom of Figures 2 and 3.

A composite component is constructed by composing pre-constructed components (from the repository) by means of pre-defined composition connectors and adapters. These are (exogenous) control structures that coordinate the execution of their composed components. Our composition connectors are Sequencer and Selector. They provide sequencing and branching respectively. Adapters are Guard and Loop, allowing gating and looping respectively. A composite component, just as an atomic one, provides services; they result from the coordination of the services provided by its sub-components. In addition to composition connectors, X-MAN also defines an Aggregator connector, which aggregates in a new composite component the services exposed by its sub-components. An aggregated component effectively provides a façade to the aggregated services.

Figure 3 illustrates the design of a composite component called AutoCruiseControl. Two components, AdaptSpeed and CruiseControl, are retrieved from the repository and composed using a Sequencer and a Guard. Sequencing order, and gating conditions are specified as labels on coordination connections. The composition results in the AutoCruiseControl provided service.

In addition to control, data flow can also be specified in the design via data channels. Data can flow ‘horizontally’ between components and ‘vertically’ to the composite component services.

The result from component development is a repository of X-MAN components, which are also architectures themselves.

3.2 Variability

To model variability, in FX-MAN, we have defined three explicit variation operators: Or, Alternative and Opt. They define the standard ‘inclusive or’, ‘exclusive or’, and ‘optional’ variation points in feature models. Variation operators can be applied to X-MAN sets to generate variations. They can be nested within one another, as in feature models.

In our tool, variation operators can be dragged from the palette (Figure 5), and connected to component (in X-MAN sets) or to other variation operators. Figure 5 shows two Alternative and two Optional operators connected to four X-MAN component. It also shows an Or operator nested within an Alternative operator.

The family connectors and adapters specify coordination and adaptation applied to all variations.
Variation generation results in sets of X-MAN architectures.

3.3 Composing Architectures into a Family

Family connectors compose X-MAN sets into a product family, which is an architecture containing the architectures of all the members. A product family can be adapted by a family adapter.

Family connectors and adapters are implemented in a palette in our tool as shown in Figure 5. To apply a family connector we drag it onto the canvas and make connections from it to X-MAN components (in X-MAN sets) or variation operators. In Figure 5, the F-Selector composes three sets of variations produced by the two Optional and the Alternative variation operators into a single architecture. An F-Sequencer composes the previous architecture with another set of variations created by the variation operator Or to yield a larger architecture. Finally, a F-Loop is connected at the top.

The constructed product family can be explored via the Product Explorer view (bottom, Figure 5). Architectures of individual members can be directly extracted and executed.

4. EXAMPLE

Consider vehicle control systems (VCS), adapted from [5], with the feature model in Figure 4.

First, we develop seven X-MAN atomic components (corresponding to seven leaf features): AverageMPH, AverageMPG, Maintenance, Monitoring, FrontDetection, AllRoundDetection, AdaptSpeed and CruiseControl. They are stored in the repository. The last two are then retrieved and composed into the composite component AutoCruiseControl in

![Figure 3: Eclipse workbench for composite component development.](image)

Second, we apply variation operators defined in the feature model to the X-MAN components that have been constructed to implement the leaf features. To this end, we retrieve the components and apply the specified variation operators to them. In Figure 5, we apply Optional to AverageMPH and AverageMPG, Alternative to Maintenance and Monitoring, and FrontDetection and AllRoundDetection; and Or to the latter and AutoCruiseControl.

Third, we compose all the above variations into all the possible products specified by the feature model. We use F-Sequencer, F-Selector and F-Loop, also shown in Figure 5. The resulting architecture contains a total of 40 products, which can be inspected, and extracted using the Product Explorer view in Fig. 5.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Current product line engineering tools are mostly problem space based (see [2] for a survey). For example, in pure::variants, a family model is defined to establish links between features in the feature model (problem space) and available assets, i.e. code and documentation (solution space). By
contrast, our approach is solution space based. By having a full set of explicit variation points (including the ‘inclusive or’) in our architectures, we can map our product families to the problem space. This is in contrast to most software architecture based approaches, which are also solution space based but do not define architectures with a full set of explicit variation points.

However, currently our tool lacks an interface to the problem space, i.e. it does not support the end user in the process of features (or product) selection. In this regard, we intend to integrate our tool with pure::variants. This will allow us to extract specific products, thus tackling potential scalability problems when dealing with large product families.

At the moment, our tool also does not handle constraints between features in the problem space; rather, we define them as filters on product families in the solution space. We plan to investigate with a real example how efficient these filters are, and whether/how they could deal with constraints in the problem space.

Furthermore, our approach only deals with structural variability, but not parametric variability [3]. Future work will therefore include an investigation of the latter.

Finally our tool is available at http://xmantoolset.ddns.net.
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APPENDIX
A. DEMONSTRATION ROADMAP
The tool demonstration begins with a quick overview of the theoretical foundations for our tool, and is followed by a demonstration of the steps described in Section 3, illustrated by the VCS example in Section 4. Each step is illustrated through small working examples. Tool executables and examples source code will be provided to participants who wish to have a first-hand experience.

Step 1: Construct X-MAN components
The first step is to construct X-MAN components, atomic or composite, that implement the leaf features in the feature model. Once implemented, they are deposited in a shared repository (accessible by the participants). There are seven leaf features, so we will construct seven X-MAN components: AverageMPH, AverageMPG, Maintenance, Monitoring, FrontDetection, AllRoundDetection, and AutoCruiseControl. As already stated in Section 4, all the components are atomic, except AutoCruiseControl, which is a composite of two atomic components AdaptSpeed and CruiseControl. We demonstrate how the tool supports their implementation, and how they can be composed into the AutoCruiseControl component. Moreover, we demonstrate how the tool allows us to generate code for the AutoCruiseControl component, and how to verify its behaviour via JUnit tests.

Step 2: Apply variation operators
The second step is to apply variation operators defined in the feature model to the constructed X-MAN components. To this end, we retrieve all the seven components from CDO using the dialogue in Fig. 6, and apply the variation operators according to the feature model in Fig. 4. The Optional operators applied to AverageMPH and AverageMPG yield the tuple $F_1 = \langle \{\text{AverageMPH}\}, \emptyset \rangle$ and $F_2 = \langle \{\text{AverageMPG}\}, \emptyset \rangle$ respectively. The Alternative operator applied to Maintenance and Monitoring gives the set $F_3 = \langle \{\text{Maintenance}\}, \{\text{Monitoring}\} \rangle$. The Or operator applied to the X-MAN set consisting of AutoCruiseControl and the X-MAN set resulting from applying the Alternative operator to FrontDetection and AllRoundDetection yields the X-MAN set of 5 products: $F_4 = \langle \{\text{AutoCruiseControl}, \text{AllRoundDetection}\}, \{\text{AllRoundDetection}\}, \{\text{FrontDetection}, \text{AutoCruiseControl}\}, \{\text{FrontDetection}\}, \{\text{AutoCruiseControl}\} \rangle$.

Step 3: Construct the product family
After generating variations, a tuple of X-MAN sets are composed via family connectors into a product family. We demonstrate how to create the 40 products defined by the VCS feature model. We choose to compose $F_1, F_2, F_3$ into $F_5$ with the family connector $F$-Selector because we want to allow a driver to choose any subset of the features: AverageMPH, AverageMPG, Maintenance and Monitoring. Then we choose to compose $F_5$ and $F_4$ with $F$-sequencer to combine the driver’s choice with the Cruise Management feature.

Step 4: Extract family members
All the 40 products can be extracted using the product extraction dialogue box in Fig. 7. The (partial) result is depicted in Fig. 8. We extract product No. 4 (Fig. 9), which is a premium version of VCS, has five components: AverageMPH, AverageMPG, Monitoring, AutoCruiseControl, and AllRoundDetection. The premium VCS is capable of displaying the result calculated by AverageMPH, AverageMPG, or Monitoring (chosen by the user). Then AutoCruiseControl is invoked with the aim of maintaining the speed (selected by the user). Finally, the system shows the distance from the vehicle to the nearest obstacle in a specified direction.

Step 5: Test family members
Because all the products in the family are fully formed and executable, we check their behaviour with JUnit tests. We demonstrate this by testing the behaviour of the extracted product No. 4 (Fig. 10). This concludes the demonstration.
Figure 9: Product No.4

Figure 10: Product No.4 tests result.